## 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	ed States of America,	Case No. 4:25-mj-70294-MAG
Noal	Plaintiff, v.  Nanaye Bawer,  Defendant(s).	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
		MAR 17 2025
Trial Act from	m May 14, 2005, to April 1, 20	March 17,205 the court excludes time productive of the court excludes
_	Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	defendants, the nature of the property or law that it is unreasonable to expe	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of cosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ct adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial d by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of du	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
_	Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case comm See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
My X	Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, to See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time aking into account the exercise of due diligence.
<u> </u>	paragraph and — based on the parties the time limits for a preliminary hear	In taking into account the public interest in the prompt of sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first is showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS	s so ordered.	Landis Wished
	PULATED:	Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
3111	Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney